Modelling nitrous oxide dynamics in grazed grassland systems

Junye Wang, Laura M. Cardenas, Tom H. Misselbrook, Changsheng Li





Overview

- Background
- Livestock grazed and grassland management
- Grazing, geochemistry and UK_DNDC
- Two sites: Rowden and Cae Banadl
- Results
- Conclusion remarks





Background

• The UK gross domestic product (GDP) 5% from agriculture in 2007

- Grassland over 50 % of the entire UK landmass.
- •The UK agricultural GHG emissions in 2007
 - 74.3% of the total UK emissions of N2O
 - 37.6% of the total UK emissions of methane





Livestock grazing and grassland management

- Two basic methods: set stocking for uplands and paddock grazing for lowlands.
- Paddock grazing.
 - Livestock grazed on a rotational basis.
 - Grazing intensity and GHG emissions.
 - Grazing intensity and sustainability.

• More production and less GHG emissions Integrating grassland management .





Grazing, Biogeochemistry, and UK_DNDC

- Grazing systems
- The UK soil and crop types and climate conditions
- Soil biogeochemical framework
- Modelling and validation using data of two sites



Two sites: Rowden and Cae Banadl

• Modelling against two sites: Rowden and Cae Banadl

• Four plots for each site and each plot with 0-6 times fertiliser and 5-6 times grazing



		Plot1		Plot2		Plot3		Plot4	
		Ferts	Grazing	Ferts	Grazing	Ferts	Grazing	Ferts	Grazing
		kg/ha	hd.days/ha	kg/ha	hd.days/ha	kg/ha	hd.days/ha	kg/ha	hd.days/ha
	Rowden	0	248.6	75	355.7	175	486.9	350	516.3
Ĩ	Cae Banadl	0	314	75	406	175	529	350	595
		ALL IN	XV		$X \lambda = X$		N/ WX.	X	



A comparison between observed and predicted values at Rowden



The model captures effect of grazing but not the peaks of emissions.





A comparison between observed and predicted values at Cae Banadl



 The model captures effect of grazing but does not match the peaks of emissions. Particularly, the model underestimated peaks for the plot 4.





Effect of grazing and fertiliser intensity at Rowden

• Plots 2 and 3 seems there is no big differences quantitatively.

• This may imply that there is a region which is not sensitive to the grazing and fertiliser intensity



RESEARCH

Effect of grazing and fertiliser intensity at Cae Banadl

• Plots 3 does produce more N2O emissions than Plot2 quantitatively.

Plot 4 leads an obvious increase of N2O emissions.

•Proper management?



NORTH WYKE RESEARCH



Conclusion remarks

• UK_DNDC can model effects of grazing intensity

• UK_DNDC underestimates the peaks of N₂O emissions for the plot4 (intensive grazing and fertiliser)

• An optimal region appears to be less change of N₂O emissions as the grazing and fertiliser intensity increases.

 This region should be an indicator for grassland management and sustainability.

 However, more studies are still necessary this optimal region in the future





Thank you very much for your attention!

Questions?



